

Solar Energy Conversion System (SECS)

**Alternate Delivery Method** 

Façade Prefabrication



Brady Sheerin | Construction Option

# **Replacement High School** Undisclosed Location | Maryland

# **Breadth Topics**

# Mechanical System Redesign

Hybrid geothermal system w/ a cooling tower to supplement for peak load conditions

# **Structural Roof Redesign**

To accommodate extra loads of the cooling tower

Advisor: Dr. Chimay Anumba

Brady Sheerin | Construction

# **Presentation Outline:**

## Project Background

- General Information
- Project Participants

Analysis 1: Mechanical System Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

Acknowledgements



# Location

# **Building Size**

# **Project Parameters**

- Cost: \$74,250,000

# Purpose

# **Project Background**

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

• Southern Maryland below Washington DC

• Area: 254,878 GSF • Height: 3 Stories @ 70'

• Dates of Construction: Dec. 2011 – Aug. 2013 Delivery method: CM at Risk, Cost + Fee w/ GMP

• To replace the outdated existing school





### **Replacement High School | Maryland**

#### PROGRESS PHOTO

Brady Sheerin | Construction

## **Presentation Outline:**

## Project Background

- General Information
- Project Participants

Analysis 1: Mechanical System Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

Acknowledgements



# **Project Background**

**Project Participants** 

**Owner**: Prince George's County Public School (PGCPS)

**Construction Manager:** HESS Construction + Engineering Services

Architect: WMCRP



### **Replacement High School | Maryland**

Replacement High School   Maryland	<sup>i</sup> Δna
Brady Sheerin   Construction	
Presentation Outline:	
Project Background	
Analysis 1: Mechanical System	Proble High ur
Background	VE
Process	
Results	Curren
Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion	Geothe
System (SECS)	@ \$6,5
Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication	Propos
Conclusion	Hybrid
Acknowledgements	

# alysis 1: Mechanical System

## Replacement High School | Maryland

Brady Sheerin | Construction

# em Background:

pfront cost of the school correlated to a lot of

# nt System:

ermal system w/ 400 wells all at a depth of 400' 500/Well

# sal

Geothermal system





	Takeoffs												
#	Zone Name	Building Pieces	Floor (sf)	N	E	S	W	Flat Roof	Roof	Height	Occ	# Extra Floors	Multi Story SF
101	Gym	I-A,B	37700	4972	6537	6076	10608	20593	17033	43.4			
102	Cafeteria	II-C	19355	4973	1227	13826	1582	12590	9870	16	1397		
103	Kitchen	III-C	10343	-	5095	-	1583	10343		16	127		
104	Classrooms	III-D	20657	10455	1814	10826	3747	20657	-	45.4	1134	2	41314
105	Classrooms	IV-E	15980	9360	2514	7601	3747	15980	-	45.4	918	2	31960
106	Lecture Hall	N/A	2815	1	-	-	2064	2815		45.4	230		
		Centrla Core											
107	Transition	& Atrium	5746	1813	-	434	1537	5746		45.4	120	2	11492
108	Admin	V-B,F	26638	3368	8973	1790		22048	9291	35	385		
109	Auditorium	V1-G	31176	7336	7475	-	6271	7298	7856	51	1587		

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

#### Analysis 1: Mechanical System

- Background
- Process
- Results

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

Acknowledgements

- **Broke Building Into Zones**
- Takeoffs
- Translated Building Info Into *Trane Trace 700* **Energy Modeling** Software
- **Determined Geographic** Zone Type
- **Ran Model to Generate Basic Load Calculations**



# **Analysis 1: Mechanical System**

## **Replacement High School | Maryland**

% Deviation	2.72
Cooling Load Profile	738
othermal+Equipment)	718.47



#### **Brady Sheerin | Construction**

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

#### Analysis 1: Mechanical System

- Background
- Process
- Results

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

Acknowledgements

- Monthly Load Profiles
- Redesign for 350 Tons
- Select a Cooling Tower and Location
- **Payback Period**



System	Cost o
Fully Geothermal	\$2,840
Hybrid Geothermal	\$1,420

# Analysis 1: Mechanical System

## **Replacement High School | Maryland**

Replacement High School   Maryland Brady Sheerin   Construction	Ana
Presentation Outline:Project BackgroundAnalysis 1: Mechanical System• Background• Process• ResultsAnalysis 2: Solar Energy ConversionSystem (SECS)	<b>Upfront Sav</b> <b>Potential im</b> • Purchase • Nicer Fini • Telecom F
Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication Conclusion Acknowledgements	Recomment Current geot design shoul

# alysis 1: Mechanical System

## Replacement High School | Maryland

Brady Sheerin | Construction

# Results

vings: \$1,347,348.40

# nplications:

e custom casework

ishes

Package

# ndations:

othermal system at the site is not the most practical uld use a hybrid geothermal system.



Replacement	High	School	Maryland
-------------	------	--------	----------

Brady Sheerin | Construction

Presentation Outline:
Project Background
Analysis 1: Mechanical System
Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion
System (SECS)
Background
Process
Results
Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication
Conclusion
Acknowledgements

# Analysis 2: SECS

# rs Goal:

ate a state-of-the-art educational facility larly in the field of science and technology

## sal:

ermine whether or not a photovoltaic array prove to be advantageous for the client

that a PV array would be a beneficial ment for the owner.

## Replacement High School | Maryland



Brady Sheerin | Construction

# **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

# System (SECS)

- Background
- Process
- Results
- Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

## Acknowledgements



# Analysis 2: SECS

### Replacement High School | Maryland



Solar Shading on the Equinoxes



Solar Shading on the Winter Solstice



Solar Shading on the Summer Solstice

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

# **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

# System (SECS)

- Background
- Process
- Results

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

## Acknowledgements

- **Building Analysis**
- Shading Concerns
  - Parapet Wall
  - Module Spacing





150000

# Analysis 2: SECS

### **Replacement High School | Maryland**

#### **Brady Sheerin | Construction**

30

20

Row Spacing (ft)



Brady Sheerin | Construction

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

# System (SECS)

- Background
- Process
- Results

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion Acknowledgements

- **Building Analysis**
- Shading Concerns
  - Parapet Wall
  - Module Spacing
- Module Selection & Layout
- **Cost & Incentives**

### With Row Spacing @ 15'

Item
Modu
Mounting
Invert
Modu
Mounting
Invert



# Analysis 2: SECS

n	Quantity	Output	Duration (days)
ıle	370	8/day/electrician	46.25
g Rack	37	4/day/roofer	9.25
er	3	3/day/electrician	1
ıle	260	8/day/electrician	32.5
g Rack	26	4/day/roofer	6.5
er	2	2/day/electrician	1



### **Replacement High School | Maryland**

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 



<b>Direct Capita</b>	al Costs							
Module	630	units	o.2 kWdc/unit	151.304	kWdc	2.05	\$/Wdc	\$310,173.20
Inverter	5	units	30 KkWac/unit	149.85	kWac	0.37	\$/Wac	\$55,444.50
Balancing of S	ystem, equi	ipment				0.43	\$/Wdc	\$65,060.72
Installation lab	oor					0.48	\$/Wdc	\$72,625.92
Installer marg	in and over	head				0.81	\$/Wdc	\$122,556.24
						Total Direc	t Cost	\$625,860.58

Indirect Capital Costs
Permitting, Environmental Studies
Grid interconnection
Sales Tax

#### **Total Installed Cost**

0.23	\$/Wdc	\$34,799.92
0.01	\$/Wdc	\$1,513.04
o%		\$0.00
Total India	ect Cost	\$36,312.96

Total Installed Cost:	\$662,173.54
Cost/Capacity:	\$4.38

Brady Sheerin | Construction

# **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

# System (SECS)

- Background
- Process
- Results
- Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

## Acknowledgements

- **Building Analysis**
- Shading Concerns
  - Parapet Wall
  - Module Spacing
- Module Selection & Layout
- **Cost & Incentives**

# Analysis 2: SECS

# Incentives

# **Property Tax Exemption For Wind and Solar**

Provides 100% real tax exemption in Maryland

Federal Tax Credit 30%

**State Tax Credit** 25%

SREC's \$120 deescalating at 10% over a 10 year period

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 



<b>Direct</b> Capita	l Costs								
Module	630	units	0.2	kWdc/unit	151.304	kWdc	2.05	\$/Wdc	\$310,173.20
Inverter	5	units	30	KkWac/unit	149.85	kWac	0.37	\$/Wac	\$55,444.50
Balancing of Sy	ystem, equi	ipment					0.43	\$/Wdc	\$65,060.72
Installation lab	or						0.48	\$/Wdc	\$72,625.92
Installer margin and overhead							0.81	\$/Wdc	\$122,556.24
							Total Direc	et Cost	\$625,860.58
Indirect Capi	tal Costs								
Permitting, En	vironment	al Studies					0.23	\$/Wdc	\$34,799.92
Grid interconn	ection						0.01	\$/Wdc	\$1,513.04
Sales Tax							o%		\$0.00
							Total Indir	ect Cost	\$36,312.96

**Total Installed Cost** 

### **Replacement High School | Maryland**

# Project Cost

Total Installed Cost:	\$662,173.54
Cost/Capacity:	\$4.38

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

# **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

# System (SECS)

- Background
- Process
- Results
- Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion Acknowledgements

- **Building Analysis**
- Shading Concerns
  - Parapet Wall
  - Module Spacing
- Module Selection & Layout
- **Cost & Incentives**

# Analysis 2: SECS

# Incentives

# **Property Tax Exemption For Wind and Solar**

Provides 100% real tax exemption in Maryland

# Federal Tax Credit

30%

# **State Tax Credit**

25%

# SREC's

\$120 deescalating at 10% over a 10 year period



**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

## **Replacement High School | Maryland**

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

# **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

# System (SECS)

- Background
- Process

## Results

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion Acknowledgements

- **Building Analysis**
- Shading Concerns
  - Parapet Wall
  - Module Spacing
- Module Selection & Layout
- **Cost & Incentives**



PGCPS should consider implementing PV system based off of the potential reduction in utility bills and the short payback period.

# Analysis 2: SECS

# Results

## **Payback Period** 4.99 years

# Installation Time

17 days given 4 roofers and 7 electricians

# Impact to schedule

Minimal: activities don't occur on the critical path

# Recommendations



**Replacement High School | Maryland** 

Replacement High Scho Brady Sheerin   Con	ool   Maryland An	aly
Presentation Outline: Project Background Analysis 1: Mechanical System Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion System (SECS)	<b>Pro</b> l A tw an a	<b>bler</b> /o m alrea
<ul> <li>Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication</li> <li>Background</li> <li>Process</li> <li>Results</li> <li>Conclusion</li> </ul>	Cur 69,0 stud Pro Acce	rent )00 1 and pos
Acknowledgements	arch	nitec

# ysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

# m Background:

nonth delay on the notice-to-proceed reduced ady tight schedule to 18 months

## t Facade:

SF of hand laid ground-face-CMU on a metal d masonry substrate.

## al

rate the schedule by utilizing a precast ctural façade.

## Replacement High School | Maryland





Brady Sheerin | Construction

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

- Background
- Process
- Results

Conclusion Acknowledgements Panel Quantity Calculations

**Typical Panel Design For** Sections D & E

# **Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication**



### **Replacement High School | Maryland**



Replacement High Sch Brady Sheerin   Co	Analy	
Project Background Analysis 1: Mechanical System Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion System (SECS) Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication Background Process Results Conclusion Acknowledgements	<ul> <li>Panel Quantity Calculations</li> <li>Typical Panel Design For Sections D &amp; E</li> <li>Cost Impacts</li> </ul>	C C Cos Total C

# vsis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Current Cost of Façade: \$2,233,500

ost of prefabricated Panels: \$35/SF

st of Prefabricated Façade: \$2,415,000

Additional Crane Costs: \$17,800

GC Cost Savings: \$22,700

**Cost of Prefabricated Façade:** \$2,410,100

Difference: (\$176,600)



## **Replacement High School | Maryland**

#### **Replacement High School | Maryland** Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication Brady Sheerin | Construction **Presentation Outline:** Section # of Panel Panel Quantity Project Background Calculations Analysis 1: Mechanical System Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion **Typical Panel Design For** System (SECS) Sections D & E A&B Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication **Cost Impacts** Background • Process Sectio Schedule Impacts Results Conclusion F: Acknowledgements

A&B

s	Avg. Time/Panel		Total Time (min)	Total Time (Work Days)
9	24	min	2136	4.45
3	24	min	1992	4.15
3	24	min	792	1.65
8	24	min	1152	2.4
7	24	min	1368	2.85
2	24	min	768	1.6
				Total Days Spent: 17.1

n	Original Duration	Adjusted Duration	Reduction In Schedule (8 Hr. Days)	
	(0111: Days)	(0111: Days)	(0111: Days)	
	60	4.45	55.55	
	46	4.15	41.85	
	19	1.65	17.35	
	32	2.4	29.6	
	41	2.85	38.15	
	27	1.6	25.4	

## **Replacement High School | Maryland**



#### Brady Sheerin | Construction

# Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

## **Presentation Outline:**

- Project Background
- Analysis 1: Mechanical System
- Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion
- System (SECS)
- Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication
- Background
- Process
- Results

Conclusion Acknowledgements

- Panel Quantity Calculations
- **Typical Panel Design For** Sections D & E
- Cost Impacts
- Schedule Impacts

ID	Task Name	Duration	Start	Sep '12	Oct '12	Nov '12	
				26 2 9 16 23	30 7 14	21 28 4 11	18 25
1	<b>Original Enclosure F Timeline</b>	19 days	Thu 8/30/12	Ý			
6	Enclosure F Revised	1.65 days	Thu 8/30/12	•			
7	<b>Original Enclosure E Timeline</b>	46 days	Tue 9/18/12				-
10	Enclosure E Revised	4.15 days	Tue 9/18/12	C			
11	Original Enclosure D Timeline	60 days	Mon 10/1/12		<b>V</b>		
16	Enclosure D Revised	4.45 days	Mon 10/1/12		c		
17	<b>Original Enclosure G Timeline</b>	32 days	Mon 11/26/12				-
21	Enclosure G Revised	2.4 days	Mon 11/26/12				
22	<b>Original Enclosure C Timeline</b>	41 days	Tue 10/23/12				
27	Enclosure C Revised	2.85 days	Tue 10/23/12				
28	<b>Original Enclosure A &amp; B Timeline</b>	27 days	Mon 10/15/12				-
30	Enclosure B Revised	1.6 days	Mon 10/15/12				

## **Replacement High School | Maryland**



**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

- Background
- Process
- Results

Conclusion Acknowledgements Panel Quantity Calculations

**Typical Panel Design For** Sections D & E

- **Cost Impacts**
- Schedule Impacts

Hess Construction should consider switching the installation method of the facade. Ultimately this decision lies with the design team.

# **Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication**

## **Replacement High School | Maryland**

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 

# Results

# **Cost Implication**

\$176,600 More expensive (8% more)

# Installation Time

Greatly reduces installation time which allows other trades to start sooner

# Impact to schedule

Reduction of the critical path by 6 weeks

# Recommendations



Brady Sheerin | Construction

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 3: Alternate Delivery Method

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

### Conclusion

Acknowledgements

# Analysis 1: Hybrid Geothermal System

- Reduce first cost of system
- More efficient system
- Payback period validates change

# Analysis 2: SECS

- Minimal to no impact on schedule
- Short payback period
- Reduction in utility bills
- Minimal maintenance and repairs •

# Conclusions

**Brady Sheerin | Construction** 



# Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

- 6 week reduction in schedule
- 8% more expensive
- Safer site



### **Replacement High School | Maryland**



Brady Sheerin | Construction

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

Acknowledgements



Justin Peak and Charlie Ravenna of Hess Construction + Engineering Services Carl Wehmueller of Cummins-Wagner Melanie Fonner, AE Undergraduate Angie Veintimilla, AE Undergraduate Michael Palmer, AE Undergraduate

# Acknowledgements

Dr. Chimay Anumba Dr. Jeffrey Brownson Prof. Moses Ling

FESS CONSTRUCTION + ENGINEERING SERVICES





### **Replacement High School | Maryland**

Brady Sheerin | Construction

## **Presentation Outline:**

Project Background

Analysis 1: Mechanical System

Analysis 2: Solar Energy Conversion

System (SECS)

Analysis 4: Façade Prefabrication

Conclusion

Acknowledgements



# **Questions?**

## Replacement High School | Maryland

